

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.

10/648,208

FILING DATE

08/27/2003

Paul E. Graver

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

23092.00

CONFIRMATION NO.

3516

PAPER NUMBER

37833

7590

12/23/2004

LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION ARLINGTON, VA 22215

EXAMINER

PATEL, TAJASH D

3765

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 14		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/648,208	GRAVER, PAUL E.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tejash D Patel	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHOTHE IN THE INTERPOLATION AND INTERPOLATION	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
, —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment	t(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/04.	-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim
- 6. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claims 2 and 6 are exact duplicate of one another, it is suggest that one of the claims be cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pater (US 5,878,437) in view of Ragone et al. (US 4,055,855). Pater discloses a hospital gown (10) including a main body (14) that extends form the neck to the knees, having an upper section, a bottom edge, a left side terminating in a first edge and a right side terminating in a second edge. Further, the gown defines a front side and a back side with a gap

Application/Control Number: 10/648,208

Art Unit: 3765

between the left and right side extending down the back side from the neck to the knee as shown in figure 1. Also, the gown includes a pair of sleeves (16) joined to the upper second of the main body and a collar is formed by the main body portion and the sleeves defining a neck opening having a gap on the back side between the left and right sides as shown in figure 2. Also, a plurality of mating hooks and loop fastener strips are attached to the overlapping first and second edges and are spaced from the collar to the bottom edge, col. 5, lines 31-67 and a shown in figure 1. However, Pater does not show first and second releasable ties extending from left and right sides of the collar.

Ragone et al (hereinafter Ragone) discloses a hospital gown including first and second releasable ties (22,24) extending from left and right sides of the collar as shown in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the collar of Pater with first and second releasable ties extending from left and right sides thereof as taught by Ragone, so that the gown is properly secured about the neck and the body when worn.

With regard to claims 2 and 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that sleeves and the main body of the gown can be made of any desired material that was available at the time the device was made.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

December 14, 2004

TEJASH PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER